G R S IX 5]

Previous references

File No 100 -19

1919.

Serial Nos 172

(Nil)

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 172

Part B for February 20

SUBJECT

Apportionment of the extra Mohatarfa
Collected in the Inam Portion of the Shikarpur
Mukhtiarkarship between the Milky and Rice Plains
Sub-Division Jacobabad as

To The Deputy Commissioner Shikarpur

No. A 2962
dated 24/12

Informs the D.C that the existing practice
of enforcing enforcement of the Mohatarfa
Collections to the Mukhtiarkarship may be Continued
until the Regulation is amended to
provide for the claims of Inamdars

W D 1250—GPE—30,000 23-1 19

Pol. 23/2/20

G R S III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

A 1900

2

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

2 G.R.S III—8]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

3) The Mahalla was a non-regulation municipality till 1 7 1918 when it was declared as a union municipal council The moharfa taxes till now levied should cease to be levied soon after it is brought under the provisions of municipal regulation. Taxes will have to be levied in accordance with the rules issued by the

4) The Brahmadarsis of the temple have agreed to pay one 3/8 of the total collections But the D. recommends that 1/2 of the revenue

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

GRS III—8]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

may the funds and treasury be appropriated by the municipality It is not known under what rule this can be done It has not also been stated whether the balance is sufficient to meet the requirements of the institution We may see if may be consulted in the matter

5) Submitted for orders

6) There is some discussion on this aspect of the case in the Sanyasi Jahgir file Please examine that file and see if it throws any light on the distribution of the taxes raised in a taluk. and the municipalities may be taluk boards, house tax, profession taxes etc

fr the copy containing the relating to the Systematisation levy of taxes - the circulars as put up - copies have not yet been

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

GRS III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

---

1) The file relating to the Srirangam Jodgm has been examined with special reference to the point at issue in this case the Temple at Ti-thahalli stands in the position of an Inamdar who has been granted certain concessions by means of an executive order of Govt. It has been allowed to take 3/4 the rudhalasha collected in the Inamdation of the municipality which at present amounts to Rs 1349-10-0 It seems that the recommendation to take away an additional 1/4 of the rudhalasha Deputy Commr & Council be acted upon as there is not sufficient

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied on slips pasted into this book.

G R S III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

anything there for it is however understood that the question of inserting a section in the municipal Regulations to section 236 of the D R Code to meet such cases is under consideration in the legislature Branch

If the municipal clerk may in the meanwhile be requested to make an explanation in the matter of the institution of the D.C Shrimps and the same be requested to move the Sharmadarsan to reconsider the matter after explaining the circumstances to them

25/7/[illegible]

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied o slips pasted on this form

Orders recently issued

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

M L File No of 1919

Serial No

probably there is no other similar case, except of course, the case of the Jagheers. It will not do to deprive the temple of its established right, nor does it be practicable to do so. The Mc Council & the Temple may come to agreement as regard the actual share as proposed by the Dt Collr, and in order to legalize the procedure, the Munty may perhaps assign the agreed portion of the Mc taxes to the Temple, in consideration of its Drain portion being allowed to be included in the Municipality.

2624
26-11-19

2nd member

25/11

MK
25/11

11) The course suggested by the Vice Chairman appears a very good one & I am in favour of it. I am doubtful as to legality. The first Advocate General may be consulted

6/12/19

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | ml File No 60 of 1919

Serial No

Ho 2 3
8 9-12 19

1) Forwarded with compliments The Executive for favour of opinion.

by order
Illegible
for Secretary

8/12/19

W.S. 744/12-20
W.S. 744/12-20
21 12 19 163.4

2) There does not appear to be any legal objection to the Trichinopoli Municipal Council and the Sri Ramaswami Temple Authorities coming to some understanding regarding the apportionment of the taxes in question.

3) The question is one of contract and there is good consideration on both sides. Every Municipal Council has a right to enter into contracts, subject to the limitations and conditions prescribed in section 40 of the M.C. Regulation. Even assuming that the proposed arrangement is in the nature of a trust, such obligations may be discharged under the provisions (a) & (b) to section 57.

4) As the proposed contract matter is further simplified as the Trichinopoli Municipal Council

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R S. [II-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 2704 of 191 11

Serial No

Council happens to be a Minor Municipal Council as all such matters can be provided for in the Rules to be made under section 187 Sub section 3. of the Mad Regulation

Chairman
Govt Secretary
20/12/19.

(16) Submitted with respect para 11 supra

(17) The taxation rule of minor municipal Councils are ready for submission and it is a point for consideration whether the Dharmadarsi of the temple can be Compelled to levy taxes in accordance with the Rules in lieu of Mahatma which is now being collected and if so, under what authority has to be determined what will be the course of action of the refuse to revise the Estimate according to the rules ? Body

Note—Contn. sheet.

G R S III 2]

1200

17

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 191

Serial No

Instructions are necessary on these points since any additions necessary to be made to the taxation rules will be done

(3) The deputy Commissioner will, if approved be written to in respect of the suggestion referred to in para 10 supra

Sd/-
26/12/19

(4) Pleading up the Banaadars will not pay any tax but will be paid by Govt according to the merits of each case

Submitted in person
Sd/-
18/1/20

MM
21/1/20

(5) Submitted for orders I have separately submitted taxation rules for minor mal communities and pointed out that some provision is necessary in the rules for such cases The Comm pointed out by the Rev Secy will come under the proposed rule of course; it will be the mukhi that will levy the tax, and apportionment made according to an agreement The rate of apportionment may be decided after the taxation rules issue As it is proposed in the rules that the apportionment should be subject to the sanction of the Govt this case may be taken up and decided in merits

yes
MM

Note—Contin sheet

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 191

Serial No

Meanwhile the D C may be informed that orders will issue in this matter after the questions under consideration are passed

MC
15/1/20

Rev Secy)

[initials]
22/1/20

2nd member)

6 pm

3572
23/1/22

I regret I cannot accept the opinion of the Govt. advocate. It is not a case of contract. The contract referred to in the letter is not a contract which goes to the very root of the constitution of the municipality. The holdings in the future town site area are included under the municipal regulation & the municipal regulation does not require the signing of the memorandum. The matter is to be set right by legislation. Steps are being taken in this direction in the Legislative Branch. The old order of things may continue until the municipal regulation is amended.

Responsible

there is no possible agreement between the Municipality [illegible] with a portion of its inhabitants

[signature]
26/1/22

Draft letter [illegible].

[signature]
3/2/1922

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 100 of 1919

Serial No

Draft Issued
(Non Secy)

Ltr
S-2

Issue
by S-2-20
Ltr S-2

2 Do

We do strongly dissent
from G.O. No. 964 dt. 7-2-20
and request the G.C. that
the existing practice of
realising one fourth of the
municipal collections by the
municipality may continue
till the Rules are amended to
provide for the claims of
Mussalmans.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

H.-0 1900 15

No. Office of the Deputy Commissioner F-13

Shimoga District.

Dated Shimoga the 6th September 1919.

Rev (400-19) -1

From

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

B.Ramaswamaiayya, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. 18648-7 L.F. 161-92 dated 3rd May 1894 sanctioning the assignment of 3/4 of the Mohatarfa collected in the Inam portion of the Tirthahalli Municipality to the Sri Rameswaraswami temple and the remaining 1/4 to the said Municipality, I have the honour to state that the President of the said Municipality in consultation with the Municipal Council thereof proposes that the mohatarfa taxes collected in the Inam portion of the Municipality may be equally distributed between the Municipality and the said temple as the temple does not bear any portion of the charges of the Municipality which has to contribute a heavy sum for the construction of a bridge across the Thunga at Tirthahalli. But it is reported that the Dharmadarsis of the Sree Rameswaraswami temple at Tirthahalli state that they want 5/8th of the collections for the benefit of the temple and agree to the Municipality taking only the remaining 3/8th.

2. A statement showing the financial results of the

1900

73:3

1919-20

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated the 6th September 1919.

No. T C 93 & [illegible]
9-SEP

Encl. One [illegible]

Requesting sanction of Government re apportionment of Mohatarfa collections in the Tirthahalli Municiaplity between Sree Ramwswaraswami temple and the Municipality.

[illegible]

Put up papers
[illegible]
11/9/19

[illegible]

Proposal now made by the Municipal Council is appended hereto. It will be seen from it that the Municipality will get an additonal income of Rs 448-14-0 annually, if it is allowed to take one-half of the collections.

2. In para 19 of letter No. 47-35 dated 17th July 1893 addressed to Government on the subject by the then Deputy Commissioner, it was stated that it would not be unfair if the temple authorities were called upon to agree to the Municipality taking one-half of the Mohatarfa.

3. In view of the fact that the Municipality has many calls on it and has to contribute a substantial sum for the construction of a bridge by which the devotees of the temple will be materially benefited, the proposal that the Municipality should be allowed to take one-half of the collections seems reasonable. I therefore request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained for the equal apportionment of the entire mohatarfa collected in the Inam portion of the Tirthahalli Municipality between the Municipal Body and the said Sree Rameswaraswami temple at Tirthahalli.

4. The entire file of Vernacular record ending with the President's report No.Ml. 78 dated 28th August 1919 on the subject is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

H.R.

1

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ವಚನ ಸ್ವೀಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಸಭಾಪತಿಯವರ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 29-7-19

1

× × × ×

ಸಭೆಯು ಸೇರಿದಾಗ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ಅವರು ಅಲಂಕರಿಸಿದ್ದರು.

ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರು.

ಆಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾಣಯ್ಯ ಅವರು ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಮ್ಮತದಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಘೋಷಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾಣಯ್ಯ ಅವರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯು ಒಮ್ಮತದಿಂದ ಘೋಷಿಸಿತು.

ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರು.

ಆಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾಣಯ್ಯ ಅವರು ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಮ್ಮತದಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಘೋಷಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾಣಯ್ಯ ಅವರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚನ್ನಕೇಶವ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯು ಒಮ್ಮತದಿಂದ ಘೋಷಿಸಿತು.

"Extra"

(ಸಹಿ) K. Channakeshava
Chairman

K. Channakeshava
Chairman

[illegible] 1 12 18 [illegible]

× × × × × ×

III [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

"Extract"

(Sd) S Narayana Rao
President

11 6 [illegible] for President [signature]

No 18646-7-L F 161-92, dated Bangalore,
3rd May 1894

Order thereon — The Government approve of the action of the Deputy Commissioner in abolishing "Kachara Deruge" in the Inamahalli Municipality

2 Government accept the recommendation of the late Deputy Commissioner Mr Madhava Rao and direct that from 1st July 1894, the Rameswara temple of Inamahalli be allowed 3/4 ths of the Mohatarfa Collected in the Inam portion of the Municipality, and the other 1/4 th Credited to the Municipality

3 The subject of allowing the Municipalities the entire Mohatarfa Collected in them is under the Consideration of Government, and orders will be issued in due Course

(sd) J Ananda Row,
Chief Secretary

"Extract"

[illegible]
for President
21 6 [illegible]

Statement showing the financial results of the municipality during the Sri Rama

Municipality	Existing taxes			Additional taxes levied in the year	Additional duty in the municipality	
	Income portion of the municipality	Sinking portion of the income municipality	Total		Half of the income portion	Sinking portion
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Tirumurti	1799 0.	348 - -	2147 0.	1349 10.	449 14 -	348 - - 79

Statement of municipal taxes in Trichinopoly Taluk
Income at Trichinopoly

Proposed taxes.					
Municipal taxes due by the Hindu population	Municipal taxes due to the municipality.			Increase or decrease between columns 8 & 12	Remarks
	1/2 of the annual portion	Sinking portion	Total		
8	10	11	12	13	14
899 12 -	899 12 -	348 - -	1247 12 -	+ 499 14 -	

C. Ramaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly District.

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
the 101

Date of issue 7 2 20

Signed by Mr S.V Ramaswamy

Section Mil
File No 1007
Serial No 2

No A8984 27220

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Thanjavur District

Sir,

With reference to your letter no D c 98/18-19 dated 6th Sept 1919 re the appointment of Mahalaya collections in the Thirumakkottai Nunniyar between Sree Ramaswamy temple and the Nunniyar, I am directed to inform

over

you that the proceeds existing power of crediting one-fourth of the subscriptions collected to municipality may continue till the municipal Regulation is suitably amended so as to provide for the payment of standard rights claims in such cases under the Contribution of Lands

I have etc.

for Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—80 000—19-3 19